Journal of Polish Safety and Reliability Association
Summer Safety and Reliability Seminars, Volume 8, Number 4, 2017

Bogalecka Magda

Kołowrocki Krzysztof

Gdynia Maritime University, Gdynia, Poland

Integrated impact model on critical infrastructure accident consequences related to climate-weather change process

Keywords

critical infrastructure, accident, initiating events, environment threats, environment degradation, losses, climate-weather impact

Abstract

An integrated general model of critical infrastructure accident consequences including the process of initiating events, the process of environment threats and the process of environment degradation models related to the climate-weather change process in its operating area is presented. The model is proposed to the evaluation of losses associated with the environment degradation caused by the critical infrastructure accident and to investigate the climate-weather influence on these lossess.

1. Introduction

The critical infrastructure accident is understand as an event that causes changing the critical infrastructure safety state into the safety state worse than the critical safety state that is dangerous for the critical infrastructure itself and its operating environment as well [3]. Each critical infrastructure accident can generate the initiating event causing dangerous situations in the critical infrastructure operating surroundings. The process of those initiating events can result in this environment threats and lead to the environment dangerous degradations [3].

Thus, the general model of a critical infrastructure accident consequences is constructed as a joint probabilistic model including the process of initiating events generated either by its accident or by its loss of safety critical level, the process of environment threats and the process of environment degradation [3].

2. Process of initiating events

We call a particular consequence of the critical infrastructure accident caused by the loss of its required safety critical level the initiating event that is an event initiating dangerous threats for the critical infrastructure operating environment. Next, we can define the process of all initiating events caused by the critical infrastructure accident placed in the critical infrastructure operating environment, interacting with that environment and changing in time its states.

To model the process of initiating events, we fix the time interval $t \in \langle 0,+\infty)$, as the time of a critical infrastructure operation and we distinguish n_1, $n_1 \in N$, events initiating the dangerous situation for the critical infrastructure operating environment and mark them by $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{n_1}$. Further, we introduce the set of vectors

$$E = \{e: \quad e = [e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n_1}],\ e_i \in \{0,1\}\},$$

where

$$e_i = \begin{cases} 1, \text{if the initiating event } E_i \text{ occurs,} \\ 0, \text{if the initiating event } E_i \text{ does not occur,} \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1,2,\dots,n_1$.

We may eliminate vectors that cannot occur and we number the remaining states of the set E from $l = 1$

up to ω, $\omega \in N$, where ω is the number of different elements of the set

$$E = \{e^1, e^2, ..., e^{\omega}\},$$

where

$$e^l = [e_1^l, e_2^l, ..., e_{n_1}^l],\ l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega,$$

and

$$e_i^l \in \{0,1\},\ i = 1,2,\ldots,n_1.$$

Next, we can define the process of initiating events $E(t)$ on the time interval $t \in <0,+\infty)$, with its discrete states from the set

$$E = \{e^1, e^2, ..., e^{\omega}\}.$$

Further, we assume a semi-Markov model [3] of the process of initiating events $E(t)$ that may be described by the following parameters:

- the number of states ω, $\omega \in N$,
- the initial probabilities $p^l(0) = P(E(0) = e^l)$, $l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega$, of the process of initiating events $E(t)$ staying at the states e^l at the moment $t = 0$,
- the probabilities of transitions p^{lj}, $l, j = 1,2,\ldots,\omega$, between the states e^l and e^j,
- the conditional distribution functions $H^{lj}(t) = P(\theta^{lj} < t)$, $t \in <0,+\infty)$, $l, j = 1,2,\ldots,\omega$, $l \neq j$, of the process of initiating events $E(t)$ conditional sojourn times θ^{lj} at the states e^l while its next transition will be done to the state e^j, $l, j = 1,2,\ldots,\omega$, $l \neq j$, and their mean values $M^{lj} = E[\theta^{lj}]$, $l, j = 1,2,\ldots,\omega$, $l \neq j$.

After identification of the process of initiating events, its main characteristics can by predicted [3]. Ones of them are the mean values $E[\theta^{lj}]$ of the process of initiating events $E(t)$ unconditional sojourn times θ^l, $l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega$, at the states are given by

$$M^l = E[\theta^l] = \sum_{l=1}^{\omega} p^{lj} M^{lj},\ l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega. \tag{1}$$

and the limit values of the process of initiating events $E(t)$ transient probabilities at the particular states

$$p^l(t) = P(E(t) = e^l),\ t \in <0,+\infty),\ l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega, \tag{2}$$

given by [3]

$$p^l = \lim_{t\to\infty} p^l(t) = \frac{\pi^l M^l}{\sum_{j=1}^{\omega} \pi^j M^j},\ l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega, \tag{3}$$

where M^l are defined by (1), while the steady probabilities π^l of the vector $[\pi^l]_{1\text{x}\omega}$ satisfy the system of equations given in [3].

3. Process of environment threats

To construct the general model of the environment threats caused by the process of the initiating events generated by critical infrastructure loss of required safety critical level, we distinguish the set of n_2, $n_2 \in N$, kinds of threats as the consequences of initiating events that may cause the sea environment degradation and denote them by $H_1, H_2, ..., H_{n_2}$ [3].

We also distinguish n_3, $n_3 \in N$, environment sub-regions $D_1, D_2, ..., D_{n_3}$ of the considered critical infrastructure operating environment region $D = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup ... \cup D_{n_3}$, that may be degraded by the environment threats H_i, $i = 1,2,\ldots,n_2$ [3].

We assume that the operating environment region D can be affected by some of threats H_i, $i = 1,2,\ldots,n_2$, and that a particular environment threat H_i, $i = 1,2,\ldots,n_2$, can be characterised by the parameter f^i, $i = 1,2,\ldots,n_2$. Moreover, we assume that the scale of the threat H_i, $i = 1,2,\ldots,n_2$, influence on region D depends on the range of its parameter value and for particular parameter f^i, $i = 1,2,\ldots,n_2$, we distinguish l_i ranges $f^{i1}, f^{i2}, ..., f^{il_i}$ of its values.

After that, we introduce the set of vectors

$$s_{(k)} = [f_{(k)}^1, f_{(k)}^1, ..., f_{(k)}^{n_2}],\ k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3, \tag{4}$$

where

$$f_{(k)}^i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if a threat } H_i \text{ does not appear} \\ & \text{at the sub-region } D_k, \\ f_{(k)}^{ij}, & \text{if a threat } H_i \text{ appears} \\ & \text{at the sub-region } D_k \text{ and} \\ & \text{its parameter is in the} \\ & \text{range } f_{(k)}^{ij},\ j = 1,2,...,l_i, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

for $i = 1,2,\ldots,n_2$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$,

is called the environment threat state of the sub-region D_k. From the above definition, the maximum number of the environment threat states for the sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, is equalled to

$$\upsilon_k = (l^1_{(k)} + 1),(l^2_{(k)} + 1),...,(l^{n_2}_{(k)} + 1),\ k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3.$$

Further, we number the sub-region environment threat states defined by (4) and (5) and mark them by

$$s^{\upsilon}_{(k)} \text{ for } \upsilon = 1,2,\ldots,\upsilon_k,\ k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3,$$

and form the set

$$S_{(k)} = \{s^{\upsilon}_{(k)},\ \upsilon = 1,2,...,\upsilon_k\},\ k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3,$$

where

$$s^i_{(k)} \neq s^j_{(k)} \text{ for } i \neq j,\ i,j \in \{1,2,...,\upsilon_k\}.$$

The set $S_{(k)}$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, is called the set of the environment threat states of the sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, while a number υ_k is called the number of the environment threat states of this sub-region.
A function

$$S_{(k)}(t),\ k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3,$$

defined on the time interval $t \in< 0,+\infty)$, and having values in the environment threat states set

$$S_{(k)},\ k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3,$$

is called the sub-process of the environment threats of the sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$.
Next, to involve the sub-process of environment threats of the sub-region with the process of initiating events, we introduced the function

$$S_{(k/l)}(t),\ k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3,\ l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega,$$

defined on the time interval $t \in< 0,+\infty)$, depending on the states of the process of initiating events $E(t)$ and taking its values in the set of the environment threat states set $S_{(k)}$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$. This function is called the conditional sub-process of the environment threats in the sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, while the process of initiating events $E(t)$ is at the state e^l, $l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega$.
We assume a semi-Markov model of the sub-process $S_{(k/l)}(t)$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, $l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega$, that may be described by the following parameters:
– the number of states υ_k, $\upsilon_k \in N$,
– the initial probabilities $p^i_{(k/l)}(0) = P(S_{(k/l)}(0) = s^i_{(k)})$, $i = 1,2,\ldots,\upsilon_k$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, $l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega$, of the conditional sub-process of environment threats $S_{(k/l)}(t)$, staying at the states $s^i_{(k)}$ at the moment $t = 0$,
– the probabilities of transitions $p^{ij}_{(k/l)}$, $i,j = 1,2,\ldots,\upsilon_k$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, $l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega$, of the conditional sub-process of environment threats $S_{(k/l)}(t)$ between the states $s^i_{(k)}$ and $s^j_{(k)}$,
– the conditional distribution functions $H^{ij}_{(k/l)}(t) = P(\eta^{ij}_{(k/l)} < t)$, $t \in< 0,+\infty)$, $i,j = 1,2,\ldots,\upsilon_k$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, $l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega$, of the conditional sub-process of environment threats $S_{(k/l)}(t)$, conditional sojourn times $\eta^{ij}_{(k/l)}$ at the states $s^i_{(k)}$, while its next transition will be done to the state $s^j_{(k)}$, $i, j = 1,2,\ldots,\upsilon_k$, $i \neq j$, and their mean values $M^{ij}_{(k/l)} = E[\eta^{ij}_{(k/l)}]$, $i, j = 1,2,\ldots,\upsilon_k$, $i \neq j$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, $l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega$.

After identification of the process of environment treats, it can be predicted by finding its main characteristics [3]. Ones of them are the mean values $E[\eta^{ij}_{(k/l)}]$ of the sub-process of environment threats $S_{(k/l)}(t)$ unconditional sojourn times $\eta^i_{(k/l)}$, $i = 1,2,\ldots,\upsilon_k$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, $l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega$, at the states are given by

$$M^i_{(k/l)} = E[\eta^i_{(k/l)}] = \sum_{i=1}^{\upsilon_k} p^{ij}_{(k/l)} M^{ij}_{(k/l)},\ i = 1,2,\ldots,\upsilon_k,$$

$$k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3,\ l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega, \quad (6)$$

and the limit values of the sub-process of environment threats $S_{(k/l)}(t)$ transient probabilities at the particular states

$$p^i_{(k/l)}(t) = P(S_{(k/l)}(t) = s^i_{(k/l)}),\ t \in< 0,+\infty),$$

$$i = 1,2,\ldots,\upsilon_k,\ k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3,\ l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega, \quad (7)$$

given by [3]

$$p^i_{(k/l)} = \lim_{t \to \infty} p^i_{(k/l)}(t) = \frac{\pi^i_{(k/l)} M^i_{(k/l)}}{\sum_{j=1}^{\upsilon_k} \pi^j_{(k/l)} M^j_{(k/l)}},$$

$$i = 1,2,\ldots,\upsilon_k,\ k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3,\ l = 1,2,\ldots,\omega, \quad (8)$$

where $M^{i}_{(k/l)}$ are given by (6), while the steady probabilities $\pi^{i}_{(k/l)}$ of the vector $[\pi^{i}_{(k/l)}]_{1\times\upsilon_k}$ satisfy the system of equations given in [3].
Thus, according to the formula for total probability and (2) and (7), the probabilities

$$p^{i}_{(k)}(t) = P(S(t) = s^{i}_{(k)}),\ t \in <0,+\infty),\ i = 1,2,...,\upsilon_k,$$

$$k = 1,2,\dots,n_3, \tag{9}$$

are defined by

$$p^{i}_{(k)}(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{\omega} P\left(E(t) = e^{l}\right)\cdot P\left(S_{(k)}(t) = s^{i}_{(k)} \middle| E(t) = e^{l}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{\omega} p^{l}(t)\cdot p^{i}_{(k/l)}(t),$$

$$i = 1,2,...,\upsilon_k,\ k = 1,2,\dots,n_3,$$

and according to (3) and (8) their limit forms are

$$p^{i}_{(k)} = \sum_{l=1}^{\omega} p^{l}\cdot p^{i}_{(k/l)},$$

$$i = 1,2,...,\upsilon_k,\ k = 1,2,\dots,n_3. \tag{10}$$

4. Process of environment degradation

The particular states of the process of the environment threats $S_{(k)}(t)$ of the sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, may lead to dangerous effects degrading the environment at this sub-region. Thus, we assume that there are m_k different dangerous degradation effects for the environment sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, and we mark them by

$$R^{1}_{(k)}, R^{2}_{(k)},..., R^{m_k}_{(k)}.$$

This way the set

$$R_{(k)} = \{R^{1}_{(k)}, R^{2}_{(k)},..., R^{m_k}_{(k)}\},\ k = 1,2,\dots,n_3,$$

is the set of degradation effects for the environment of the sub-region D_k.
These degradation effects may attain different levels. Namely, the degradation effect

$$R^{m}_{(k)},\ \ m = 1,2,..., m_k,$$

may reach $\nu^{m}_{(k)}$ levels

$$R^{m1}_{(k)}, R^{m2}_{(k)},..., R^{m\nu^{m}_{(k)}}_{(k)},\ m = 1,2,\dots,m_k,$$

that are called the states of this degradation effect.
The set

$$R^{m}_{(k)} = \{R^{m1}_{(k)}, R^{m2}_{(k)},..., R^{m\nu^{m}_{(k)}}_{(k)}\},\ \ m = 1,2,..., m_k,$$

is called the set of states of the degradation effect $R^{m}_{(k)}$, $m = 1,2,\dots,m_k$, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$ for the environment of the sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$.
Under the above assumptions, we can introduce the environment sub-region degradation process as a vector

$$R_{(k)}(t) = [R^{1}_{(k)}(t), R^{2}_{(k)}(t),..., R^{m_k}_{(k)}(t)],$$

$$t \in <0,+\infty),$$

where

$$R^{m}_{(k)}(t),\ \ t \in <0,+\infty),\ m = 1,2,\dots,m_k,\ k = 1,2,\dots,n_3,$$

are the processes of degradation effects for the environment of the sub-region D_k, defined on the time interval $t \in <0,+\infty)$, and having their values in the degradation effect state sets

$$m = 1,2,\dots,m_k,\ k = 1,2,\dots,n_3,$$

is called the degradation process of the environment of the sub-region D_k.
The vector

$$r^{m}_{(k)} = [d^{1}_{(k)}, d^{2}_{(k)},..., d^{m_k}_{(k)}],\ k = 1,2,\dots,n_3, \tag{11}$$

where

$$d^{m}_{(k)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if a degradation effect } R^{m}_{(k)} \\ & \text{does not appear at the} \\ & \text{sub - region } D_k, \\ R^{m\,j}_{(k)}, & \text{if a degradation effect } R^{m}_{(k)} \\ & \text{appears at the sub - region } D_k \\ & \text{and its level is equal} \\ & \text{to } R^{m\,j}_{(k)},\ j = 1,2,...,\nu^{m}_{(k)}, \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

for $m = 1,2,\dots,m_k$, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$,

is called the degredation state of the sub-region D_k.
From the above definition, the maximum number of the environment degradation states for the sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, is equalled to

$$\ell_k = (\nu^1_{(k)} + 1), (\nu^2_{(k)} + 1), ..., (\nu^{m_k}_{(k)} + 1), \; k = 1,2,\dots,n_3.$$

Further, we number the sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, degradation states defined by (11) and (12) and mark them by

$$r^{\ell}_{(k)} \text{ for } \ell = 1,2,..., \ell_k, \; k = 1,2,\dots,n_3,$$

and form the set of degradation states

$$R_{(k)} = \{r^{\ell}_{(k)}, \; \ell = 1,2,..., \ell_k\}, \; k = 1,2,\dots,n_3,$$

where

$$r^i_{(k)} \neq r^j_{(k)} \text{ for } i \neq j, \; i, j \in \{1,2,..., \ell_k\}.$$

The set $R_{(k)}$, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, is called the set of the environment degradation states of the sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, while a number ℓ_k is called the number of the environment degradation states of this sub-region.
A function

$$R_{(k)}(t), \; k = 1,2,\dots,n_3,$$

defined on the time interval $t \in < 0,+\infty)$, and having values in the environment degradation states set

$$R_{(k)}, \; k = 1,2,\dots,n_3,$$

is called the sub-process of the environment degradation of the sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$.
Next, to involve the environment sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, degradation process with the process of the environment threats, we define the conditional environment sub-region degradation process, while the process of the environment threats $S_{(k)}(t)$ of the sub-region D_k, is at the state $s^{\upsilon}_{(k)}$, $\upsilon = 1,2,\dots,\upsilon_k$, as a vector

$$R_{(k/\upsilon)}(t) = [R^1_{(k/\upsilon)}(t), R^2_{(k/\upsilon)}(t), ..., R^{m_k}_{(k/\upsilon)}(t)], \qquad (13)$$

$$t \in < 0,+\infty),$$

where

$$R^m_{(k/\upsilon)}(t), \; t \in < 0,+\infty), \; m = 1,2,\dots,m_k, \; k = 1,2,\dots,n_3,$$

$$\upsilon = 1,2,\dots,\upsilon_k,$$

defined on the time interval $t \in < 0,+\infty)$, and having values in the degradation effect states set $R^m_{(k)}$, $m = 1,2,\dots,m_k$, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$.
The above definition means that the conditional environment sub-region degradation process $R_{(k/\upsilon)}(t)$, $t \in < 0,+\infty)$, also takes the degradation states from the set $R_{(k)}$ of the unconditional sub-region degradation process $R_{(k)}(t)$, $t \in < 0,+\infty)$, defined by (13).
We assume a semi-Markov model of the sub-process $R_{(k/\upsilon)}(t)$, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, $\upsilon = 1,2,\dots,\upsilon_k$, that may be described by the following parameters:

– the number of states ℓ_k, $\ell_k \in N$,
– the initial probabilities $q^i_{(k/\upsilon)}(0) = P(R_{(k/\upsilon)}(0) = r^i_{(k)})$, $i = 1,2,..., \ell_k$, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, $\upsilon = 1,2,\dots,\upsilon_k$, of the conditional sub-process of environment degradation $R_{(k/\upsilon)}(t)$, staying at the states $r^i_{(k)}$ at the moment $t = 0$,
– the probabilities of transitions $q^{ij}_{(k/\upsilon)}$, $i, j = 1,2,..., \ell_k$, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, $\upsilon = 1,2,\dots,\upsilon_k$, of the conditional sub-process of environment degradation $R_{(k/\upsilon)}(t)$ between the states $r^i_{(k)}$ and $r^j_{(k)}$,
– the conditional distribution functions $G^{ij}_{(k/\upsilon)}(t) = P(\zeta^{ij}_{(k/\upsilon)} < t)$, $t \in < 0,+\infty)$, $i, j = 1,2,..., \ell_k$, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, $\upsilon = 1,2,\dots,\upsilon_k$. of the conditional sub-process of environment degradation $R_{(k/\upsilon)}(t)$, conditional sojourn times $\zeta^{ij}_{(k/\upsilon)}$ at the states $r^i_{(k)}$ while its next transition will be done to the state $r^j_{(k)}$, $i, j = 1,2,..., \ell_k$, $i \neq j$, and their mean values $M^{ij}_{(k/\upsilon)} = E[\zeta^{ij}_{(k/\upsilon)}]$, $i, j = 1,2,..., \ell_k$, $i \neq j$, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, $\upsilon = 1,2,\dots,\upsilon_k$.

After identification of the process of environment degradation, it can be predicted by finding its main characteristics like ones listed below and other [3].
From the formula for total probability, it follows that the mean values $E[\zeta^{ij}_{(k/\upsilon)}]$ of the sub-process of environment degradation $R_{(k/\upsilon)}(t)$ unconditional sojourn times $\zeta^i_{(k/\upsilon)}$, $i = 1,2,..., \ell_k$, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, $\upsilon = 1,2,\dots,\upsilon_k$, at the states are given by

$$M^{i}_{(k/\upsilon)} = E[\zeta^{i}_{(k/\upsilon)}] = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell_k} q^{ij}_{(k/\upsilon)} M^{ij}_{(k/\upsilon)},\ i = 1,2,...,\ell_k,$$

$$k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3,\ \upsilon = 1,2,\ldots,\upsilon_k. \tag{14}$$

The limit values of the sub-process of environment degradation $R_{(k/\upsilon)}(t)$ transient probabilities at the particular states

$$q^{i}_{(k/\upsilon)}(t) = P(R_{(k/\upsilon)}(t) = r^{i}_{(k/\upsilon)}),\ t \in <0,+\infty),$$

$$i = 1,2,...,\ell_k, k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3,\ \upsilon = 1,2,\ldots,\upsilon_k, \tag{15}$$

are given by [3]

$$q^{i}_{(k/\upsilon)} = \lim_{t\to\infty} q^{i}_{(k/\upsilon)}(t) = \frac{\pi^{i}_{(k/\upsilon)} M^{i}_{(k/\upsilon)}}{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell_k} \pi^{j}_{(k/\upsilon)} M^{j}_{(k/\upsilon)}},$$

$$i = 1,2,...,\ell_k, k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3,\ \upsilon = 1,2,\ldots,\upsilon_k, \tag{16}$$

where $M^{i}_{(k/\upsilon)}$ are given by (14), while the steady probabilities $\pi^{i}_{(k/\upsilon)}$ of the vector $[\pi^{i}_{(k/\upsilon)}]_{1\mathrm{x}\ell_k}$ satisfy the system of equations given in [3].
Thus, according to the formula for total probability and (9) and (15), the probabilities

$$q^{i}_{(k)}(t) = P(R(t) = r^{i}_{(k)}),\ t \in <0,+\infty),\ i = 1,2,...,\ell_k,$$

$$k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3,$$

are defined by

$$q^{i}_{(k)}(t)$$

$$= \sum_{\upsilon=1}^{\upsilon_k} P\left(S(t) = s^{\upsilon}_{(k)}\right) \cdot P\left(R_{(k)}(t) = r^{i}_{(k)} \middle| S(t) = s^{\upsilon}_{(k)}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\upsilon=1}^{\upsilon_k} p^{\upsilon}_{(k)}(t) \cdot q^{i}_{(k/\upsilon)}(t),\ i = 1,2,...,\ell_k,\ k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3.$$

Hence, according to (10) and (16), for sufficiently large t, the boundary probabilities of the process of the environment degradation $R_{(k/\upsilon)}(t)$ at its particular states are given by

$$q^{i}_{(k)} \cong \sum_{\upsilon=1}^{\upsilon_k} p^{\upsilon}_{(k)} \cdot q^{i}_{(k/\upsilon)} = \sum_{\upsilon=1}^{\upsilon_k} [\sum_{l=1}^{\omega} p^{l} \cdot p^{\upsilon}_{(k/l)}] q^{i}_{(k/\upsilon)}$$

$$i = 1,2,...,\ell_k,\ k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3, \tag{17}$$

where p^l, $p^{\upsilon}_{(k/l)}$ and $q^{i}_{(k/\upsilon)}$ are defined respectively by (3), (8) and (16).

5. Critical infrastructure accident area losses

We denote by

$$C^{i}_{(k)}(t),\ i = 1,2,...,\ell_k,\ k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3, \tag{18}$$

the losses associated with the process of the environment degradation

$$R_{(k)}(t),\ t \in \langle 0,+\infty\rangle,\ k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3,$$

in the sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, at the environment degradation state $r^{i}_{(k)}$, $i = 1,2,...,\ell_k$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, in the time interval $\langle 0,t\rangle$.
Thus, the approximate expected value of the environment losses in the time interval $\langle 0,\theta\rangle$, associated with the process of the environment degradation $R_{(k)}(t)$, of the sub-region D_k can be defined by

$$C_{(k)}(\theta) \cong \sum_{i=1}^{\ell_k} q^{i}_{(k)} \cdot C^{i}_{(k)}(\theta) \text{ for } k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3, \tag{19}$$

where $q^{i}_{(k)}$, $i = 1,2,...,\ell_k$, are given by (17) and $C^{i}_{(k)}(\theta)$, $k = 1,2,...,n_3$, are defined by (18).
The total expected value of the environment losses in the time interval $\langle 0,\theta\rangle$, associated with the process of the environment degradation $R(t)$, in all sub-regions of the considered critical infrastructure operating environment region D, can be evalueted by

$$C(\theta) \cong \sum_{k=1}^{n_3} C_{(k)}(\theta), \tag{20}$$

where $C_{(k)}(\theta)$ are given by (19).

6. Critical infrastructure accident area climate-weather change process

Critical infrastructure accident area climate-weather change process parameters (either identified statistically or evaluated by experts) are [6]-[7]:

– the number of climate-weather states w;

– the vector $[q_b(0)]_{1xw}$ of the initial probabilities

$$q_b(0) = P(C(0) = c_b),\ b = 1,2,\dots,w,$$

of the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ staying at particular climate-weather states c_b at the moment $t = 0$;

– the matrix $[q_{bl}]_{wxw}$ of the probabilities of transitions

$$q_{bl},\ b,\ l = 1,2,\dots,w,\ b \neq l,$$

of the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ from the climate-weather states c_b to c_l;

– the matrix $[N_{bl}]_{wxw}$ of the mean values

$$N_{bl} = E[C_{bl}],\ b,\ l = 1,2,\dots,w,\ b \neq l,$$

of the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ conditional sojourn times C_{bl} at the climate-weather states c_b when its next climate-weather state is c_l.

Critical infrastructure operating area climate-weather change process characteristic (either calculated analytically or evaluated by experts) is [6]-[7]:

– the vector

$$[q_b]_{1xw} = [q_1, q_2,\dots,q_w] \tag{21}$$

of the limit values of transient probabilities

$$q_b(t) = P(C(t) = c_b),\ t \in <0,+\infty),\ b = 1,2,\dots,w,$$

of the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ at the particular operation states c_b.

(In the case of a periodic climate-weather change process, the limit transient probabilities q_b, $b = 1,2,\dots,w$, at the climate-weather states defined by (20), are the long term proportions of the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ sojourn times at the particular climate-weather states C_b, $b = 1,2,\dots,w$).

7. Critical infrastructure accident area losses related to climate-weather impact

We denote the losses associated with the process of the environment degradation

$$R_{(k)}(t),\ t \in \langle 0,+\infty\rangle,\ k = 1,2,\dots,n_3,$$

in the sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, at the environment degradation state $r^i_{(k)}$, $i = 1,2,\dots,\ell_k$, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, in the time interval $\langle 0,t\rangle$, while the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ at the critical infrastructure accident area is at the climate-weather state c_b, $b = 1,2,\dots,w$, by

$$[C^i_{(k)}(t)]^{(b)},\ t \in <0,\infty),\ i = 1,2,\dots,\ell_k,\ k = 1,2,\dots,n_3,$$

$$b = 1,2,\dots,w, \tag{22}$$

The losses $[C^i_{(k)}(t)]^{(b)}$ are the conditional losses, while the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ is at the climate-weather state c_b, $b = 1,2,\dots,w$, defined by

$$[C^i_{(k)}(t)]^{(b)} = [\rho^i_{(k)}(t)]^{(b)} \cdot C^i_{(k)}(t),\ t \in <0,+\infty),$$

$$i = 1,2,\dots,\ell_k,\ k = 1,2,\dots,n_3,\ b = 1,2,\dots,w, \tag{23}$$

where

$$[\rho^i_{(k)}(t)]^{(b)},\ t \in <0,\infty),\ i = 1,2,\dots,\ell_k,\ k = 1,2,\dots,n_3,$$

$$b = 1,2,\dots,w, \tag{24}$$

are the coefficients of the climate-weather change process impact on the losses associated with the process of the environment degradation in the sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, at the environment degradation state $r^i_{(k)}$, $i = 1,2,\dots,\ell_k$, $k = 1,2,\dots,n_3$, in the time interval $\langle 0,t\rangle$, while the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ at the critical infrastructure accident area is at the climate-weather state c_b, $b = 1,2,\dots,w$.

Thus, by (19) and (23) the conditional approximate expected value of the environment losses in the time interval $\langle 0,\theta\rangle$, associated with the process of the environment degradation $R_{(k)}(t)$, of the sub-region D_k, while the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ is at the climate-weather state c_b, $b = 1,2,\dots,w$, can be defined by

$$[C_{(k)}(\theta)]^{(b)} \cong \sum_{i=1}^{\ell_k} q^i_{(k)} \cdot [C^i_{(k)}(\theta)]^{(b)}$$

$$\text{for } k = 1,2,\dots,n_3,\ b = 1,2,\dots,w, \tag{25}$$

where $q^i_{(k)}$, $i = 1,2,...,\ell_k$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, are given by (17) and $[C^i_{(k)}(t)]^{(b)}$, $t \in <0,+\infty)$, $i = 1,2,...,\ell_k$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, $b = 1,2,\ldots,w$, are defined by (23)-(24).
Further, applying the formula for total probability, the unconditional approximate expected value of the losses, impacted by the climate-weather change process $C(t)$, in the time interval $\langle 0,\theta \rangle$, associated with the process of the environment degradation $R_{(k)}(t)$, of the sub-region D_k, can be expressed by

$$\overline{C}_{(k)}(\theta) \cong \sum_{b=1}^{w} q_b \cdot [C_{(k)}(\theta)]^{(b)} \text{ for } k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3, \quad (26)$$

where q_b, $b = 1,2,\ldots,w$, are given by (21) and $[C_{(k)}(\theta)]^{(b)}$, $t \in <0,+\infty)$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, $b = 1,2,...,w$, are determined by (25).
Finally, the total expected value of the losses, impacted by the climate-weather change process $C(t)$, in the time interval $\langle 0,\theta \rangle$, associated with the process of the environment degradation $R(t)$, in all sub-regions of the considered critical infrastructure operating environment region D, can be evalueted by

$$\overline{C}(\theta) \cong \sum_{k=1}^{n_3} \overline{C}_{(k)}(\theta), \quad (27)$$

where $\overline{C}_{(k)}(\theta)$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, are given by (26).
Thus, considering (23), the coefficient of the climate-weather change process impact on the losses associated with the process of the environment degradation in the sub-region D_k, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, in the time interval $\langle 0,\theta \rangle$, may by defined as

$$\rho_{(k)}(\theta) = \overline{C}_{(k)}(\theta) / C_{(k)}(\theta), \ \theta \in <0,+\infty),$$

$$k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3, \quad (28)$$

where

$\overline{C}_{(k)}(\theta)$, $\theta \in <0,+\infty)$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$,

are the losses related to the climate-weather impact determined by (26) and

$C_{(k)}(\theta)$, $\theta \in <0,+\infty)$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$,

are the losses without considering climate-weather impact determined by (19).

Similarly, the coefficient of the climate-weather change process impact on the losses associated with the process of the environment degradation in the entire considered region D, in the time interval $\langle 0,\theta \rangle$, may by defined as

$$\rho(\theta) = \overline{C}(\theta) / C(\theta), \ \theta \in <0,+\infty), \quad (29)$$

where $\overline{C}(\theta)$, $\theta \in <0,+\infty)$, are the total losses related to the climate-weather impact determined by (27) and $C(\theta)$, $\theta \in <0,+\infty)$, are the total losses without considering climate-weather impact determined by (20).
Other practicaly interesting characteristics of the the environment degradation caused by critical infrastructure accident related to the climate-weather are the indicators of the environment of the sub-regions D_k, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, resilience to the losses associated with the critical infrastructure accident related to the climate-weather change that are proposed to be defined by

$$RI_{(k)}(\theta) = 1/\rho_{(k)}(\theta), \ \theta \in <0,+\infty),$$

$$k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3, \quad (30)$$

where $\rho_{(k)}(\theta)$, $\theta \in <0,+\infty)$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n_3$, are determined by (28) and the indicator of the environment of the entire region D resilience to the losses associated with the critical infrastructure accident related to the climate-weather change that are proposed to be defined by

$$RI(\theta) = 1/\rho(\theta), \ \theta \in <0,+\infty), \quad (31)$$

where $\rho(\theta)$, $\theta \in <0,+\infty)$, is determined by (29).

8. Conclusions

Modelling critical infrastructure accident consequences performed in [1]-[2] and proposed in [3] evaluation of losses caused by the critical infrastructure accident were considered together with the probabilistic model of the climate-weather change process at the critical infrastructure accident area. This joining was proposed to the investigation of the climate-weather conditions influence on the lossess in the the critical infrastructure accidend area. The possibility and the importance of the proposed approach practical applications is evident and can be done for instance in the analysis of chemical spill consequences generated by the accident of a ships from the shipping critical infrastructure network operating at the Baltic Sea waters [4]-[5].

Acknowledgments

The paper presents the results developed in the scope of the EU-CIRCLE project titled "A pan – European framework for strengthening Critical Infrastructure resilience to climate change" that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 653824. http://www.eu-circle.eu/.

References

[1] Bogalecka, M. & Kołowrocki, K. (2016). Modelling critical infrastructure accident consequences – an overall approach. *Journal of Polish Safety and Reliability Association, Summer Safety and Reliability Seminars*, 7(1), 1-13.

[2] Bogalecka, M. & Kołowrocki, K. (2016), *Modelling critical infrastructure accident consequences – designing the General Model of Critical Infrastructure Accident Consequences (GMCIAC)*. EU-CIRCLE Report D3.3-GMU21.

[3] Bogalecka, M. & Kołowrocki, K. (2017). Integrated model of critical infrastructure accident consequences. *Journal of Polish Safety and Reliability Association, Summer Safety and Reliability Seminars*, 8(3), 43-52.

[4] Bogalecka, M. & Kołowrocki, K. (2017). *Practical application of the General Model of Critical Infrastructure Accident Consequences (GMCIAC) to the chemical spill consequences generated by the accident of one of the ships of the ship critical infrastructure network operating at the Baltic Sea waters*. EU-CIRCLE Report D3.3-GMU24.

[5] EU-CIRCLE Report D6.4 (2018), *Case Study 2: sea surge and extreme winds at Baltic Sea area , Scenario 2, Chemical spill due to extreme surges*.

[6] Kołowrocki, K. & Soszyńska-Budny, J. (2016). *Critical Infrastructure Operating Area Climate-Weather Change Process (C-WCP) Including Extreme Weather Hazards (EWH), C-WCP Model 3*. EU-CIRCLE Report D3.3-GMU0.

[7] Kołowrocki, K. & Soszyńska-Budny, J. (2017). An overall approach to modelling operation threats and extreme weather hazards impact on critical infrastructure safety. *Proc. European Safety and Reliability Conference – ESREL 2017*, (to appear).