

Kolowrocki Krzysztof

Soszyńska-Budny Joanna

Gdynia Maritime University, Gdynia, Poland

Prediction of climate-weather change process for port oil piping transportation system and maritime ferry operating at Baltic Sea area

Keywords

climate-weather change process, semi-Markov model, modelling, identification, transportation system

Abstract

There are presented the methods of prediction of the climate-weather change process. These are the methods and procedures for estimating the unknown basic parameters of the climate-weather change process semi-Markov model and identifying the distributions of the climate-weather change process conditional sojourn times at the climate-weather states. There are given the formulae estimating the probabilities of the climate-weather change process staying at the particular climate-weather states at the initial moment, the probabilities of the climate-weather change transitions between the climate-weather states and the parameters of the distributions suitable and typical for the description of the climate-weather change process conditional sojourn times at the particular climate-weather states. The proposed statistical methods applications for the prediction of the climate-weather change process model determining the climate-weather change process parameters for for port oil piping transportation system and maritime ferry operating at Baltic Sea area are presented.

1. Introduction

The general model of the climate-weather change processes is proposed in [3] and [12]. The safety models of various multistate complex technical systems are considered in [5]. Consequently, the general joint models linking these system safety models with the model of their climate-weather processes, allowing us for the safety analysis of the complex technical systems at the variable climate-weather conditions, are constructed in [6]. To be able to apply these general models practically in the evaluation and prediction of the reliability and safety of real complex technical systems it is necessary to have the statistical methods concerned with determining the unknown parameters of the proposed models [7]-[8], [10]-[11], [21]. Particularly, concerning the climate-weather process, the probabilities of the climate-weather change process staying at the particular climate-weather states at the initial moment, the probabilities of the climate-weather process transitions between the climate-weather states and the distributions of the conditional sojourn times of the climate-weather process at the particular climate-weather states should be identified

[9], [14]-[15]. It is also necessary to use the methods of testing the hypotheses concerned with the climate-weather process conditional sojourn times at the climate-weather states [15].

2. Prediction of climate-weather change process

Assuming that we have identified the unknown parameters of the climate-weather change process semi-Markov model:

- the initial probabilities $q_b(0)$, $b = 1, 2, \dots, 6$, of the climate-weather change process staying at the particular state c_b at the moment $t = 0$;
 - the probabilities q_{bl} , $b, l = 1, 2, \dots, 6$, $b \neq l$, of the climate-weather change process transitions from the climate-weather state c_b into the climate-weather state c_l ;
 - the distributions of the climate-weather change process conditional sojourn times C_{bl} , $b, l = 1, 2, \dots, 6$, $b \neq l$, at the particular climate-weather states and their mean values $M_{bl} = E[C_{bl}]$, $b, l = 1, 2, \dots, 6$,
- we can predict this process basic characteristics.

The mean values of the conditional sojourn times C_{bl} are given by [3]

$$N_{bl} = E[C_{bl}] = \int_0^{\infty} t dC_{bl}(t) = \int_0^{\infty} t c_{bl}(t) dt, \quad (1)$$

$b, l = 1, 2, \dots, w, b \neq l,$

then for the distinguished in [3] distributions, the mean values of the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ conditional sojourn times $C_{bl}, b, l = 1, 2, \dots, w, b \neq l,$ at the particular operation states are respectively given by [3]:

- for the uniform distribution

$$N_{bl} = E[C_{bl}] = \frac{x_{bl} + y_{bl}}{2}; \quad (2)$$

- for the triangular distribution

$$N_{bl} = E[C_{bl}] = \frac{x_{bl} + y_{bl} + z_{bl}}{3}; \quad (3)$$

- for the double trapezium distribution

$$N_{bl} = E[C_{bl}] = \frac{x_{bl} + y_{bl} + z_{bl}}{3} + \frac{w_{bl}(y_{bl})^2 - q_{bl}(x_{bl})^2}{2} + \frac{w_{bl} + q_{bl}}{6} [(x_{bl}z_{bl} - y_{bl}z_{bl}) + \frac{x_{bl}y_{bl}(x_{bl} + y_{bl})}{y_{bl} - x_{bl}}] - \frac{(x_{bl})^3 q_{bl} + (y_{bl})^3 w_{bl}}{3(y_{bl} - x_{bl})}; \quad (4)$$

- for the quasi-trapezium distribution

$$N_{bl} = E[C_{bl}] = \frac{q_{bl}}{2} [(z_{bl}^1)^2 - (x_{bl})^2] - \frac{A_{bl} - q_{bl}}{6} [2(z_{bl}^1)^2 - 5x_{bl}z_{bl}^1 - (x_{bl})^2] + \frac{A_{bl}}{2} [(z_{bl}^2)^2 - (z_{bl}^1)^2] + \frac{w_{bl}}{2} [(y_{bl})^2 - (z_{bl}^2)^2] - \frac{w_{bl} - A_{bl}}{6} [2(z_{bl}^2)^2 - 5y_{bl}z_{bl}^2 - (y_{bl})^2];$$

$$N_{bl} = E[C_{bl}] = \frac{q_{bl}}{2} [(z_{bl}^1)^2 - (x_{bl})^2] - \frac{A_{bl} - q_{bl}}{6} [2(z_{bl}^1)^2 - 5x_{bl}z_{bl}^1 - (x_{bl})^2] + \frac{A_{bl}}{2} [(z_{bl}^2)^2 - (z_{bl}^1)^2] + \frac{w_{bl}}{2} [(y_{bl})^2 - (z_{bl}^2)^2] - \frac{w_{bl} - A_{bl}}{6} [2(z_{bl}^2)^2 - 5y_{bl}z_{bl}^2 - (y_{bl})^2]; \quad (5)$$

- for the exponential distribution

$$N_{bl} = E[C_{bl}] = x_{bl} + \frac{1}{\alpha_{bl}}; \quad (6)$$

- for the Weibull distribution

$$N_{bl} = E[C_{bl}] = x_{bl} + \alpha_{bl}^{-\frac{1}{\beta_{bl}}} \Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{\beta_{bl}}), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\Gamma(u) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{u-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad u > 0,$$

is the gamma function;

- for the chimney distribution

$$N_{bl} = E[C_{bl}] = \frac{1}{2} [A_{bl}(x_{bl} + z_{bl}^1) + C_{bl}(z_{bl}^1 + z_{bl}^2) + D_{bl}(z_{bl}^2 + y_{bl})]. \quad (8)$$

From the formula for total probability, it follows that the unconditional distribution functions of the sojourn times $C_b, b = 1, 2, \dots, w,$ of the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ at the climate-weather states $c_b, b = 1, 2, \dots, w,$ are given by [3], [4], [6], [12]

$$C_b(t) = \sum_{l=1}^w q_{bl} C_{bl}(t), \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, w. \quad (9)$$

Hence, the mean values $E[C_b]$ of the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ unconditional sojourn times $C_b, b = 1, 2, \dots, w,$ at the climate-weather states are given by

$$N_{bl} = E[C_{bl}] = \sum_{i=1}^w q_{bl} N_{bl}, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, w, \quad (10)$$

where N_{bl} are defined by the formula (1) in a case of any distribution of sojourn times C_{bl} and by the formulae (2)-(8) in the cases of particular defined respectively by (2.5)-(2.11) [3] distributions of these sojourn times.

The limit values of the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ transient probabilities at the particular operation states

$$q_b(t) = P(C(t) = c_b), \quad t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, w,$$

are given by [3], [6], [12]

$$q_b = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p_b(t) = \frac{\pi_b N_b}{\sum_{l=1}^v \pi_l N_l}, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, w, \quad (11)$$

where N_b , $b = 1, 2, \dots, w$, are given by (10), while the steady probabilities π_b of the vector $[\pi_b]_{1 \times v}$ satisfy the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} [\pi_b] = [\pi_b][p_{bl}] \\ \sum_{l=1}^v \pi_l = 1. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

In the case of a periodic climate-weather change process, the limit transient probabilities q_b , $b = 1, 2, \dots, w$, at the climate-weather states defined by (11), are the long term proportions of the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ sojourn times at the particular climate-weather states c_b , $b = 1, 2, \dots, w$,

Other interesting characteristics of the system climate-weather change process $C(t)$ possible to obtain are its total sojourn times \hat{C}_b at the particular climate-weather states c_b , $b = 1, 2, \dots, w$, during the fixed time. It is well known [3], [6], [12] that the climate-weather change process total sojourn times \hat{C}_b at the particular climate-weather states c_b , for sufficiently large time θ , have approximately normal distributions with the expected value given by

$$\hat{N}_b[\hat{C}_b] = q_b \theta, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, w, \quad (13)$$

where q_b are given by (11).

3. Climate-weather change process for initial point of port oil piping transportation system operating at under water Baltic Sea area characteristics prediction

On the basis of the statistical data from Section 3.1.1 [3], it is possible to evaluate the following unknown basic parameters of the climate-weather change process:

- the vector

$$[q_b(0)] = [0.953, 0.006, 0.0, 0.029, 0.012, 0] \quad (14)$$

of the initial probabilities $q_b(0)$, $b = 1, 2, \dots, 6$, of the climate-weather change process staying at the particular states c_b at the $t = 0$,

- the matrix

$$[q_{bl}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.21 & 0 & 0.62 & 0.17 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.57 & 0.13 & 0 & 0 & 0.30 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

of the probabilities q_{bl} , $b = 1, 2, \dots, 6$, of transitions of the climate-weather change process from the climate-weather state c_b into the climate-weather state c_l .

Thus, after applying the formula (1), it is possible to find the mean value:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{12} &\cong 270.27, N_{14} \cong 333.33, N_{15} \cong 493.04, \\ N_{21} &\cong 3.00, N_{41} \cong 6.23, N_{42} \cong 3.00, \\ N_{45} &\cong 6.00, N_{54} \cong 5.33 \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and to assume

$$N_{bl} = 0 \text{ for the remaining } b, \text{ and } l. \quad (17)$$

This way, the climate-weather change process for the initial point of port oil piping transportation system operating under water Baltic Sea area is approximately identified and we may predict its main characteristics. Namely, considering (16)-(17) and applying (10), the unconditional mean sojourn times of the considered climate-weather change process at the particular climate-weather states are:

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &= E[C_1] = p_{12}N_{12} + p_{14}N_{14} + p_{15}N_{15} \\ &= 0.21 \cdot 270.27 + 0.62 \cdot 333.33 + 0.17 \cdot 493.04 \\ &= 347.24, \end{aligned}$$

$$N_2 = E[C_2] = p_{21}N_{21} = 1 \cdot 3 = 3,$$

$$N_3 = E[C_3] = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_4 &= E[C_4] = p_{41}N_{41} + p_{42}N_{42} + p_{45}N_{45} \\ &= 0.57 \cdot 6.23 + 0.13 \cdot 3 + 0.30 \cdot 6 = 5.47, \end{aligned}$$

$$N_5 = E[C_5] + p_{54}N_{54} = 1 \cdot 5.33 = 5.33,$$

$$N_6 = E[C_6] = 0. \quad (18)$$

Considering (12), we get the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} [\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \pi_4, \pi_5, \pi_6] = [\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_6][p_{bl}]_{6 \times 6} \\ \pi_1 + \pi_2 + \pi_3 + \pi_4 + \pi_5 + \pi_6 = 1, \end{cases}$$

and its solution

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 \cong 0.25, \pi_2 \cong 0.25, \pi_3 \cong 0, \pi_4 \cong 0.25, \\ \pi_5 \cong 0.25, \pi_6 \cong 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Hence and from (18), after applying (11), it follows that the limit values of the climate-weather change process for the considered point of the port oil piping system operation area the transient probabilities $q_b(t)$ at the particular climate-weather states c_b , $b=1,2,\dots,6$, are:

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 \cong 0.962, q_2 \cong 0.008, q_3 \cong 0, q_4 \cong 0.015, \\ q_5 \cong 0.015, q_6 \cong 0. \end{aligned}$$

Considering the above result, after applying (13), the expected values of the total sojourn times \hat{C}_b , $b=1,2,\dots,6$, of the climate-weather change process at the particular climate-weather states c_b , $b=1,2,\dots,6$, during the fixed operation time $\theta=1$ year = 365 days, amount:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{N}_1 &= E[\hat{C}_1] \cong 0.962 \text{ year} = 351.13 \text{ days}, \\ \hat{N}_2 &= E[\hat{C}_2] \cong 0.008 \text{ year} = 2.92 \text{ days}, \\ \hat{N}_3 &= E[\hat{C}_3] \cong 0 \text{ year} = 0 \text{ days}, \\ \hat{N}_4 &= E[\hat{C}_4] \cong 0.015 \text{ year} = 5.48 \text{ days}, \\ \hat{N}_5 &= E[\hat{C}_5] \cong 0.015 \text{ year} = 5.47 \text{ days}, \\ \hat{N}_6 &= E[\hat{C}_6] \cong 0 \text{ year} = 0 \text{ days}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Climate-weather change process for maritime ferry operating at Gdynia port area characteristics prediction

On the basis of the statistical data from Section 3.3.1 [4], it is possible to evaluate the following unknown basic parameters of the climate-weather change process:

- the vector

$$[q_b(0)] = [0.569, 0.426, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0.005, 0],$$

of the initial probabilities $q_b(0)$, $b=1,2,\dots,8$, of the climate-weather change process staying at the particular states c_b at the $t=0$,

- the matrix

$$[q_{bl}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1.00 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.06 & 0 & 0.94 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.86 & 0 & 0.14 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.92 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.08 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.00 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

of the probabilities q_{bl} , $b,l=1,2,\dots,8$, of transitions of the climate-weather change process from the climate-weather state c_b into the climate-weather state c_l . Thus, after applying the formula (1), it is possible to find the mean value:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{12} = 6.50, N_{21} \cong 78.18, N_{23} = 72.46, \\ N_{32} = 38.79, N_{34} \cong 23.68, N_{43} \cong 4.58, \\ N_{47} = 11.00, N_{74} = 1.00 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and to assume

$$N_{bl} = 0 \quad (22)$$

for the remaining b , and l .

This way, the climate-weather change process for the point of maritime ferry operating at Gdynia Port area is approximately identified and we may predict its main characteristics. Namely, considering (21)-(22) and applying (10), the unconditional mean sojourn times of the considered climate-weather change process at the particular climate-weather states are:

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &= E[C_1] = p_{12}N_{12} = 1 \cdot 6.50 = 6.50, \\ N_2 &= E[C_2] = p_{21}N_{21} + p_{23}N_{23} \\ &= 0.06 \cdot 78.18 + 0.94 \cdot 72.46 = 72.80, \\ N_3 &= E[C_3] = p_{32}N_{32} + p_{34}N_{34} \\ &= 0.86 \cdot 38.79 + 0.14 \cdot 23.68 = 36.67, \\ N_4 &= E[C_4] = p_{43}N_{43} + p_{47}N_{47} \\ &= 0.92 \cdot 4.58 + 0.08 \cdot 1 = 4.29, \\ N_5 &= E[C_5] = 0, \\ N_6 &= E[C_6] = 0, \\ N_7 &= E[C_7] = p_{74}N_{74} + 1 \cdot 1 = 1, \\ N_8 &= E[C_8] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Considering (12), we get the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} [\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_8] = [\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_8][p_{bl}]_{8 \times 8} \\ \pi_1 + \pi_2 + \dots + \pi_8 = 1, \end{cases}$$

and its solution

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 \cong 0.2, \pi_2 \cong 0.2, \pi_3 \cong 0.2, \pi_4 \cong 0.2, \pi_5 \cong 0, \\ \pi_6 \cong 0, \pi_7 \cong 0.2, \pi_8 \cong 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence and from (23), after applying (11), it follows that the limit values of the climate-weather change process for the considered point of the maritime ferry operation area the transient probabilities $q_b(t)$ at the particular climate-weather states $c_b, b = 1, 2, \dots, 8$, are:

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 \cong 0.055, q_2 \cong 0.602, q_3 \cong 0.303, q_4 \cong 0.031, \\ q_5 \cong 0, q_6 \cong 0, q_7 \cong 0.009, q_8 \cong 0. \end{aligned}$$

Considering the above result, after applying (13), the expected values of the total sojourn times \hat{C}_b , $b = 1, 2, \dots, 8$, of the climate-weather change process at the particular climate-weather states $c_b, b = 1, 2, \dots, 8$, during the fixed operation time $\theta = 1$ year = 365 days, amount:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{N}_1 = E[\hat{C}_1] \cong 0.055 \text{ year} = 20.08 \text{ days}, \\ \hat{N}_2 = E[\hat{C}_2] \cong 0.602 \text{ year} = 219.72 \text{ days}, \\ \hat{N}_3 = E[\hat{C}_3] \cong 0.303 \text{ year} = 110.60 \text{ days}, \\ \hat{N}_4 = E[\hat{C}_4] \cong 0.031 \text{ year} = 11.31 \text{ days}, \\ \hat{N}_5 = E[\hat{C}_5] \cong 0 \text{ year} = 0 \text{ days}, \\ \hat{N}_6 = E[\hat{C}_6] \cong 0 \text{ year} = 0 \text{ days}, \\ \hat{N}_7 = E[\hat{C}_7] \cong 0.009 \text{ year} = 3.29 \text{ days}, \\ \hat{N}_8 = E[\hat{C}_8] \cong 0 \text{ year} = 0 \text{ days}. \end{aligned}$$

5. Conclusions

The proposed statistical methods of identification of the unknown parameters of the climate-weather change processes allow us for the identification of the models discussed in [6] and next their practical applications in evaluation, prediction and optimization of reliability, availability and safety of real complex critical infrastructures.

Acknowledgments



The paper presents the results developed in the scope of the EU-CIRCLE project titled “A pan – European framework for strengthening Critical Infrastructure resilience to climate change” that has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 653824. <http://www.eu-circle.eu/>.

References

- [1] Barbu, V. & Limnios, N. (2006). Empirical estimation for discrete-time semi-Markov processes with applications in reliability. *Journal of Nonparametric Statistics* 18, 7-8, 483-498.
- [2] Collet, J. (1996). Some remarks on rare-event approximation. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability* 45, 106-108.
- [3] EU-CIRCLE Report D2.1-GMU3. (2016). *Modelling Climate-Weather Change Process Including Extreme Weather Hazards*.
- [4] EU-CIRCLE Report D2.3-GMU2. (2016). *Identification Methods and Procedures of Climate-Weather Change Process Including Extreme Weather Hazards*.
- [5] EU-CIRCLE Report D3.3-GMU1. (2016). *Modelling inside dependences influence on safety of multistate ageing systems – Modelling safety of multistate ageing systems*.
- [6] EU-CIRCLE Report D3.3-GMU12. (2017). *Integration of the Integrated Model of Critical Infrastructure Safety (IMCIS) and the Critical Infrastructure Operation Process General Model (CIOPGM) into the General Integrated Model of Critical Infrastructure Safety (GIMCIS) related to operating environment threads (OET) and climate-weather extreme hazards (EWH)*.
- [7] Gamiz, M. L. & Roman, Y. (2008). Non-parametric estimation of the availability in a general repairable. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 93, 8, 1188-1196.
- [8] Giudici, P. & Figeni, S. (2009). *Applied data mining for business and industry*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- [9] Habibullah, M. S., Lumanpauw, E., Kolowrocki, K. et al. (2009). A computational tool for general model of operation processes in industrial systems operation processes. *Electronic Journal Reliability & Risk Analysis: Theory & Applications* 2, 4, 181-191.
- [10] Helvacioğlu, S. & Insel, M. (2008). Expert system applications in marine technologies. *Ocean Engineering* 35, 11-12, 1067-1074.
- [11] Hryniewicz, O. (1995). *Lifetime tests for imprecise data and fuzzy reliability requirements*. Reliability

- and Safety Analyses under Fuzziness. Onisawa, T. & Kacprzyk, J. (Ed.). Physica Verlag, Heidelberg, 169-182.
- [12] Jakusik, E., Kołowrocki, K., Kuligowska, E. et al. (2016). Modelling climate-weather change process including extreme weather hazards for oil piping transportation system. *Journal of Polish Safety and Reliability Association, Summer Safety & Reliability Seminars* 7, 3, 31-40.
- [13] Kołowrocki, K. (2004). *Reliability of Large Systems*, Elsevier, ISBN: 0080444296.
- [14] Kołowrocki, K. (2014). *Reliability of large and complex systems*, Elsevier, ISBN: 978080999494.
- [15] Kołowrocki, K. & Soszynska-Budny, J. (2011). *Reliability and Safety of Complex Technical Systems and Processes: Modeling-Identification-Prediction-Optimization*. Springer, ISBN: 9780857296931.
- [16] Limnios, N. & Oprisan, G. (2005). *Semi-Markov Processes and Reliability*. Birkhauser, Boston.
- [17] Limnios, N., Ouhbi, B. & Sadek, A. (2005). Empirical estimator of stationary distribution for semi-Markov processes. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods* 34, 4, 987-995.
- [18] Macci, C. (2008). Large deviations for empirical estimators of the stationary distribution of a semi-Markov process with finite state space. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods* 37, 19, 3077-3089.
- [19] Mercier, S. (2008). Numerical bounds for semi-Markovian quantities and application to reliability. *Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability* 10, 2, 179-198.
- [20] Rice, J. A. (2007). *Mathematical statistics and data analysis*. Duxbury. Thomson Brooks/Cole. University of California. Berkeley.
- [21] Soszyńska, J., Kołowrocki, K., Blokus-Roszkowska, A. et al. (2010). Identification of complex technical system components safety models. *Journal of Polish Safety and Reliability Association, Summer Safety & Reliability Seminars* 4, 2, 399-496.
- [22] Vercellis, S. (2009). *Data mining and optimization for decision making*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- [23] Wilson, A. G., Graves, T. L., Hamada, M. S. et al. (2006). Advances in data combination, analysis and collection for system reliability assessment. *Statistical Science* 21, 4, 514-531.