Modelling port piping transport and shipping critical infrastructures operation processes including operating environment threats
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Abstract
In the paper, the traditional semi-Markov approach to a complex technical system operation process modeling is proposed to modelling a critical infrastructure operation process including operating environment threats. Next the model is applied to real critical infrastructures such as the port oil piping transportation system and the maritime ferry technical system.

1. Introduction
The operation process of a critical infrastructure is very complex and often it is difficult to analyze these critical infrastructure safety with respect to changing in time its operation process states and operating environment conditions that are essential in this analysis. The complexity of the critical infrastructure operation process and its influence on changing in time the critical infrastructure structure and its components’ safety parameters are essential in critical infrastructure safety analysis and protection. Usually, the critical infrastructure environment have either an explicit or an implicit strong influence on the critical infrastructure operation process. As a rule, some of the environmental events together with the infrastructure operation conditions define a set of different operation states of the critical infrastructure in which the critical infrastructure change its safety structure and its components safety parameters. In this report, we propose a convenient tool for analyzing this problem applying the semi-Markov model [13]-[15], [17], [23]-[24] of the critical infrastructure operation process, both without including critical infrastructure environment threats and with including them into this model.

2. Operation process of port oil piping transportation system
2.1. Port oil piping transportation system description
The considered oil piping transportation system is operating at one of the Baltic Oil Terminals that is designated for the reception from ships, the storage and sending by carriages or cars the oil products. It is also designated for receiving from carriages or cars, the storage and loading the tankers with oil products such like petrol and oil. The considered terminal is composed of three parts A, B and C, linked by the piping transportation system with the pier [22].

The unloading of tankers is performed at the pier placed in the port. The pier is connected with terminal part A through the transportation subsystem S1 built of two piping lines composed of steel pipe segments with diameter of 600 mm. In the part A there is a supporting station fortifying tankers pumps and making possible further transport of oil by the subsystem S2 to the terminal part B. The subsystem S2 is built of two piping lines composed of steel pipe segments of the diameter 600 mm. The terminal part B is connected with the terminal part C by the subsystem S3. The subsystem S3 is built of one piping line composed of steel pipe segments of the diameter 500 mm and two piping lines composed of steel pipe segments of diameter 350 mm. The terminal part C is designated for the loading the rail cisterns with oil products and for the wagon sending to the railway.
station of the port and further to the interior of the country. Thus, the port oil pipeline transportation system consists of three subsystems:
- the subsystem \( S_1 \) composed of two pipelines, each composed of 178 pipe segments and 2 valves,
- the subsystem \( S_2 \) composed of two pipelines, each composed of 717 pipe segments and 2 valves,
- the subsystem \( S_3 \) composed of three pipelines, each composed of 360 pipe segments and 2 valves.

2.2. Semi-Markov model of port oil piping transportation system operation process

Taking into account expert opinions on the varying in time operation process of the considered piping system, we distinguish the following as its eight operation states:
- an operation state \( z_1 \) – transport of one kind of medium from the terminal part B to part C using two out of three pipelines of the subsystem \( S_3 \),
- an operation state \( z_2 \) – transport of one kind of medium from the terminal part C to part B using one out of three pipelines of the subsystem \( S_1 \),
- an operation state \( z_3 \) – transport of one kind of medium from the terminal part B through part A to pier using one out of two pipelines of the subsystem \( S_1 \) and one out of two pipelines of the subsystem \( S_2 \),
- an operation state \( z_4 \) – transport of one kind of medium from the pier through parts A and B to part C using one out of two pipelines of the subsystem \( S_1 \), one out of two pipelines in subsystem \( S_2 \) and two out of three pipelines of the subsystem \( S_3 \),
- an operation state \( z_5 \) – transport of one kind of medium from the pier through part A to B using one out of two pipelines of the subsystem \( S_1 \) and one out of two pipelines of the subsystem \( S_2 \),
- an operation state \( z_6 \) – transport of one kind of medium from the terminal part B to C using two out of three pipelines of the subsystem \( S_3 \), and simultaneously transport one kind of medium from the pier through part A to B using one out of two pipelines of the subsystem \( S_1 \) and one out of two pipelines of the subsystem \( S_2 \),
- an operation state \( z_7 \) – transport of one kind of medium from the terminal part B to C using one out of three pipelines of the subsystem \( S_3 \), and simultaneously transport second kind of medium from the terminal part C to B using one out of three pipelines of the subsystem \( S_3 \).

Further, using semi-Markov model introduced in [24], we can define the port oil piping transportation system operation process \( Z(t) \) not related to its operating environment threats, by:
- the vector \([p_s(0)]_{2}^{7} \), of the initial probabilities \( p_s(0) = P(Z(0) = z_b), b = 1, 2, ..., 7 \), of the port oil piping transportation system operation process \( Z(t) \) staying at particular operation states at the moment \( t = 0 \);
- the matrix \([p_{sl}]_{3}^{7} \), of probabilities \( p_{sl} \), \( b, l = 1, 2, ..., 7 \), of the port oil piping transportation system operation process \( Z(t) \) conditional sojourn times \( \theta_{sl} \) at the operation states.

2.3. Operation process of port oil piping transportation system including operating environment threats

We consider the port oil piping transportation system described in Section 2.1 with the scheme presented in Figure 8 in [3]. We assume that its system safety structure and its subsystems and components safety depend on its changing in time operation states \( z_1, z_2, ..., z_7 \), defined in Section 2.2. Additionally, we assume that the port oil transportation system operation process and safety may depend on its operating environment threats and we distinguished the following 3 unnatural threats:
- \( ut_1 \) – a human error,
- \( ut_2 \) – a terrorist attack,
- \( ut_3 \) – an act of vandalizm and/or theft.

In this case, according to (3.3) in [3], the maximum value of the number of operation states \( v \) of the port oil piping transportation system operation process \( Z'(t) \) related to its operating environment threats is

\[
7 \cdot \left( \binom{3}{0} + \binom{3}{1} + \binom{3}{2} + \binom{3}{3} \right) = 7 \cdot 2^3 = 56.
\]

Taking into account expert opinions on the varying in time operation process \( Z'(t) \) of the considered piping system, definitions (1)-(2) in [24] and assuming that the threats are disjoint, according to (4)-(11) in [24], we distinguish the following as its 28 operation states:
- the operation states \( z_i, \ i=1,2,...,7 \), without including operating environment threats \( ut_1, ut_2, ut_3 \), marked by
\[
z_i = z_i, \ i=1,2,...,7; \tag{1}
\]
- the operation states \( z_i, \ i=1,2,...,7 \), including the threat \( ut_1 \), respectively marked by
\[
z_i, \ i=8,9,...,14; \tag{2}
\]
- the operation states \( z_i, \ i=1,2,...,7 \), including the threat \( ut_2 \), respectively marked by
\[
z_i, \ i=15,16,...,21; \tag{3}
\]
- the operation states \( z_i, \ i=1,2,...,7 \), including the threat \( ut_3 \), respectively marked by
\[
z_i, \ i=22,23,...,28. \tag{4}
\]

Practically more comfortable is to numerate the new states, according to (1)-(3), as follows:

- the operation states \( z_i, \ i=1,2,...,7 \), without including operating environment threats \( ut_1, ut_2, ut_3 \), marked by
\[
z_i = z_i \text{ for } i=1, z_i = z_2 \text{ for } i=5, \ldots, z_i = z_7 \text{ for } i=25; \tag{5}
\]
- the operation states including state \( z_1 \) and successively the threats \( ut_1, ut_2, ut_3 \), respectively marked by
\[
z_i, \ i=2,3,4; \tag{6}
\]
- the operation states including state \( z_5 \) and successively the threats \( ut_1, ut_2, ut_3 \), respectively marked by
\[
z_i, \ i=6,7,8; \tag{7}
\]
- the operation states including state \( z_4 \) and successively the threats \( ut_1, ut_2, ut_3 \), respectively marked by
\[
z_i, \ i=10,11,12; \tag{8}
\]

The influence of the above system operation states changing on the changes of the pipeline system safety structure is similar to that described in Section 2.2.

For the new operation states numeration (5)-(12) we have:
- at the system operation states \( z_3, z_6, z_{13}, z_{16}, z_{22} \) and \( z_7, z_{14}, z_{21}, z_{28} \), the system is composed of the subsystem \( S_1 \), that is a series- “2 out of 3” system containing three series subsystems with the scheme showed in Figure 10 [3];
- at the system operation state \( z_1, z_4, z_{11}, z_{13}, z_{17} \), the system is composed of a series-parallel subsystem \( S_3 \), which contains three pipelines with the scheme showed in Figure 11 [3];
- at the system operation states \( z_5, z_{12}, z_{19}, z_{26} \), the system is series and composed of two series-parallel subsystems \( S_1, S_2 \) each containing two pipelines with the scheme showed in Figure 12 [3];
- at the operation states \( z_4, z_{11}, z_{18}, z_{25} \) and \( z_5, z_{13}, z_{27} \), the system is series and composed of two series-parallel subsystems \( S_1, S_2 \) each containing two pipelines and one series- “2 out of 3” subsystem \( S_3 \) with the scheme showed in Figure 13 [3].
For the new operation states numeration (5)-(12) we have:

- at the system operation states \( z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \) and \( z_{25}, z_{26}, z_{27}, z_{28}, \) the system is composed of the subsystem \( S_1, \) that is a series-"2 out of 3" system containing three series subsystems with the scheme showed in Figure 10 [3];
- at the system operation state \( z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8, \) the system is composed of a series-parallel subsystem \( S_3, \) which contains three pipelines with the scheme showed in Figure 11 [3];
- at the system operation states \( z_9, z_{10}, z_{11}, z_{12} \) and \( z_{17}, z_{18}, z_{19}, z_{20}, \) the system is series and composed of two series-parallel subsystems \( S_1, S_2, \) each containing two pipelines and one series-"2 out of 3" subsystem \( S_3, \) with the scheme showed in Figure 12 [3];
- at the operation states \( z_{13}, z_{14}, z_{15}, z_{16} \) and \( z_{21}, z_{22}, z_{23}, z_{24}, \) the system is series and composed of two series-parallel subsystems \( S_1, S_2, \) each containing two pipelines and one series-"2 out of 3" subsystem \( S_3, \) with the scheme showed in Figure 13 [3].

Further, using semi-Markov model introduced in Section 3.1 [24], we can define the port oil piping transportation system operation process \( Z(t) \) related to its operating environment threats, by:

- the vector \( [p_b^i(0)]_{1 \times 28} \) of the initial probabilities \( p_b^i(0) = P(Z^i(0) = z_b^i), b = 1,2,...,28, \) of the port oil piping transportation system operation process \( Z(t) \) staying at particular operation states at the moment \( t = 0; \)
- the matrix \( [p_{bl}^i]_{28 \times 28} \) of probabilities \( p_{bl}^i, b, l = 1,2,...,28, \) of the port oil piping transportation system operation process \( Z(t) \) transitions between the operation states \( z_b^i \) and \( z_l^i; \)
- the matrix \( [H_{bl}^i(t)]_{28 \times 28} \) of conditional distribution functions \( H_{bl}^i(t) = P[\theta_{bl}^i < t], b, l = 1,2,...,28, \) of the port oil piping transportation system operation process \( Z(t) \) conditional sojourn times \( \theta_{bl}^i \) at the operation states.

3. Operation process of maritime ferry

3.1. Maritime ferry technical system description

The considered maritime ferry is a passenger Ro-Ro ship operating at the Baltic Sea between Gdynia and Karlskrona ports on regular everyday line. We assume that the ferry is composed of a number of main subsystems having an essential influence on its safety [22]. There are distinguished its following subsystems:

- \( S_1 - \) a navigational subsystem,
- \( S_2 - \) a propulsion and controlling subsystem,
- \( S_3 - \) a loading and unloading subsystem,
- \( S_4 - \) a stability control subsystem,
- \( S_5 - \) an anchoring and mooring subsystem,
- \( S_6 - \) a protection and rescue subsystem,
- \( S_7 - \) a social subsystem.

In the safety analysis of the ferry, we omit the protection and rescue subsystem \( S_6 \) and the social subsystem \( S_7, \) and we consider its strictly technical subsystems \( S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, \) and \( S_5, \) only, further called the ferry technical system.

The navigational subsystem \( S_1 \) is composed of one general component \( E_1, \) that is equipped with GPS, AIS, speed log, gyrocompass, magnetic compass, echo sounding system, paper and electronic charts, radar, ARPA, communication system and other subsystems.

The propulsion and controlling subsystem \( S_2 \) is composed of:

- the subsystem \( S_{21} \) which consist of 4 main engines \( E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{13}, E_{14}, \)
- the subsystem \( S_{22} \) which consist of 3 thrusters \( E_{21}, E_{22}, E_{23}, \)
- the subsystem \( S_{23} \) which consist of twin pitch propellers \( E_{31}, E_{32}, \)
- the subsystem \( S_{24} \) which consist of twin directional rudders \( E_{41}, E_{42}, \)

The loading and unloading subsystem \( S_3 \) is composed of:

- the subsystem \( S_{31} \) which consist of 2 remote upper trailer decks to main deck \( E_{31}, E_{32}, \)
- the subsystem \( S_{32} \) which consist of 1 remote fore car deck to main deck \( E_{31}, \)
- the subsystem \( S_{33} \) which consist of passenger gangway to Gdynia Terminal \( E_{33}, \)
- the subsystem \( S_{34} \) which consist of passenger gangway to Karlskrona Terminal \( E_{34}, \)

The stability control subsystem \( S_4 \) is composed of:

- the subsystem \( S_{41} \) which consist of an anti-heeling system \( E_{41}, \) which is used in port during loading operations;
- the subsystem \( S_{42} \), which consist of an anti-heeling system \( E_{21} \), which is used at sea to stabilizing ships rolling.
The anchoring and mooring subsystem \( S_5 \) is composed of:
- the subsystem \( S_{31} \), which consist of aft mooring winches \( E_{31} \);
- the subsystem \( S_{52} \), which consist of fore mooring and anchor winches \( E_{31} \);
- the subsystem \( S_{53} \), which consist of fore mooring winches \( E_{31} \).

3.2. Semi-Markov model of maritime ferry operation process

Taking into account expert opinions on the varying in time operation process of the considered ferry technical system, we distinguish the following as its eighteen operation states:
- an operation state \( z_1 \) – loading at Gdynia Port,
- an operation state \( z_2 \) – unmooring operations at Gdynia Port,
- an operation state \( z_3 \) – leaving Gdynia Port and navigation to “GD” buoy,
- an operation state \( z_4 \) – navigation at restricted waters from “GD” buoy to the end of Traffic Separation Scheme,
- an operation state \( z_5 \) – navigation at open waters from the end of Traffic Separation Scheme to “Angoring” buoy,
- an operation state \( z_6 \) – navigation at restricted waters from “Angoring” buoy to “Verko” Berth at Karlskrona,
- an operation state \( z_7 \) – mooring operations at Karlskrona Port,
- an operation state \( z_8 \) – unloading at Karlskrona Port,
- an operation state \( z_9 \) – loading at Karlskrona Port,
- an operation state \( z_{10} \) – unmooring operations at Karlskrona Port,
- an operation state \( z_{11} \) – ferry turning at Karlskrona Port,
- an operation state \( z_{12} \) – leaving Karlskrona Port and navigation at restricted waters to “Angoring” buoy,
- an operation state \( z_{13} \) – navigation at open waters from “Angoring” buoy to the entering Traffic Separation Scheme,
- an operation state \( z_{14} \) – navigation at restricted waters from the entering Traffic Separation Scheme to “GD” buoy,
- an operation state \( z_{15} \) – navigation from “GD” buoy to turning area,
- an operation state \( z_{16} \) – ferry turning at Gdynia Port,
- an operation state \( z_{17} \) – mooring operations at Gdynia Port,
- an operation state \( z_{18} \) – unloading at Gdynia Port.

Further, using semi-Markov model introduced in Section 2 [24] we can define the maritime ferry technical system operation process \( Z(t) \) not related to its operating environment threats, by:
- the vector \( [p_{s}(0)]_{i,18} \) of the initial probabilities \( p_{s}(0) = P(Z(0) = z_{s}), \ b = 1,2,...,18 \), of the maritime ferry technical system
operation process \( Z(t) \) staying at particular operation states at the moment \( t = 0 \);
- the matrix \( [p_{sl}]_{i,18} \) of probabilities \( p_{sl}, \ b, l = 1,2,...,18 \), of the maritime ferry technical system
operation process \( Z(t) \) transitions between the operation states \( z_{b} \) and \( z_{l} \);
- the matrix \( [H_{sl}(t)]_{i,18} \) of conditional distribution functions \( H_{sl}(t) = P(\theta_{sl} < t), \ b, l = 1,2,...,18 \), of the maritime ferry technical system operation process \( Z(t) \) conditional sojourn times \( \theta_{s} \) at the operation states.

3.3. Operation process of maritime ferry technical system including operating environment threats

We consider the maritime ferry in Section 2.3 in [3] with the scheme presented in Figures 14-16 [3]. We assume that its system safety structure and its subsystems and components safety depend on its changing in time operation states \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{18} \), defined in Section 3.2. Additionally, we assume that the maritime ferry operation process and safety may depend on its operating environment threats and we distinguished the following 3 unnatural threats:
\( ut_1 \) – a human error,
\( ut_2 \) – a terrorist attack,
\( ut_3 \) – a heavy sea traffic.

In this case, according to (3) [24], the maximum value of the number of operation states \( \nu \) of the maritime ferry technical system operation process \( Z'(t) \) related to its operating environment threats is
\[
18 \cdot 1\left(\binom{3}{1}\right) + \left(\binom{3}{1}\right) + \left(\binom{3}{1}\right) = 18 \cdot 2^3 = 144.
\]
Taking into account expert opinions on the varying in time operation process $Z'(t)$ of the considered maritime ferry, definitions (1)-(2) [24] and assuming that the threats are disjoint, according to (4)-(11) [24] we distinguish the following as its 72 operation states:

- the operation states $z_i$, $i=1,2,...,18$, without including operating environment threats $u_t^1$, $u_t^2$, $u_t^3$, respectively marked by
  \[
  z_i = z_i, \quad i=1,2,...,18; \tag{13}
  \]

- the operation states $z_i$, $i=1,2,...,18$, including the threat $u_t^1$, respectively marked by
  \[
  z_i', \quad i=19,20,...,36; \tag{14}
  \]

- the operation states $z_i$, $i=1,2,...,18$, including the threat $u_t^2$, respectively marked by
  \[
  z_i', \quad i=37,38,...,54; \tag{15}
  \]

- the operation states $z_i$, $i=1,2,...,18$, including the threat $u_t^3$, respectively marked by
  \[
  z_i', \quad i=55,56,...,72. \tag{16}
  \]

Practically more comfortable is to numerate the new states, according to (12)-(15) [24], as follows:

- the operation states $z_i$, $i=1,2,...,18$, without including operating environment threats $u_t^1$, $u_t^2$, $u_t^3$, respectively marked by
  \[
  z_i = z_i \text{ for } i = 1, \quad z_i = z_2 \text{ for } i = 5, \ldots, \quad z_i = z_{69} \text{ for } i = 69; \tag{17}
  \]

- the operation states including state $z_1$ and successively the threats $u_t^1$, $u_t^2$, $u_t^3$, respectively marked by
  \[
  z_1', \quad i = 2,3,4; \tag{18}
  \]

- the operation states including state $z_2$ and successively the threats $u_t^1$, $u_t^2$, $u_t^3$, respectively marked by
  \[
  z_2', \quad i = 6,7,8; \tag{19}
  \]

- the operation states including state $z_3$ and successively the threats $u_t^1$, $u_t^2$, $u_t^3$, respectively marked by
  \[
  z_3', \quad i = 10,11,12; \tag{20}
  \]

- the operation states including state $z_4$ and successively the threats $u_t^1$, $u_t^2$, $u_t^3$, respectively marked by
  \[
  z_4', \quad i = 14,15,16; \tag{21}
  \]

- the operation states including state $z_5$ and successively the threats $u_t^1$, $u_t^2$, $u_t^3$, respectively marked by
  \[
  z_5', \quad i = 18,19,20; \tag{22}
  \]

- the operation states including state $z_6$ and successively the threats $u_t^1$, $u_t^2$, $u_t^3$, respectively marked by
  \[
  z_6', \quad i = 22,23,24; \tag{23}
  \]

- the operation states including state $z_7$ and successively the threats $u_t^1$, $u_t^2$, $u_t^3$, respectively marked by
  \[
  z_7', \quad i = 70,71,72. \tag{24}
  \]

The influence of the above system operation states changing on the changes of the maritime ferry technical system safety structure is similar to that described in Section 3.2. For the new operation states numeration (17)-(24) we have:

- at the operation states $z_1$, $z_{19}$, $z_{37}$, $z_{53}$ and $z_{64}$, $z_{72}$, the ferry technical system is composed of two subsystems $S_1$ and $S_2$ forming a series structure shown in Figure 17 [3];
- at the operation states $z_2$, $z_{20}$, $z_{38}$, $z_{46}$ and $z_{21}$, $z_{24}$, $z_{43}$, $z_{61}$ and $z_{10}$, $z_{23}$, $z_{46}$ and $z_{11}$, $z_{24}$, $z_{47}$, $z_{63}$, the ferry technical system is composed of three subsystems $S_1$, $S_2$ and $S_3$ forming a series structure shown in Figure 18 [3];
- at the operation states $z_3$, $z_{21}$, $z_{39}$, $z_{47}$ and $z_{21}$, $z_{29}$, $z_{45}$ and $z_{15}$, $z_{31}$, $z_{51}$, $z_{60}$ and $z_{16}$, $z_{34}$, $z_{52}$, $z_7$, the ferry technical system is composed of two
subsystems $S_1$ and $S_2$ forming a series structure shown in Figure 19 [3];
- at the operation states $z_{1,2,3}$, $z_{4,5,6}$ and $z_{7,8,9}$, the ferry technical system is composed of three subsystems $S_1$, $S_2$ and $S_4$ forming a series structure shown in Figure 20 [3];
- at the operation state $z_{6,7,8}$, the ferry technical system is composed of three subsystems $S_1$, $S_2$ and $S_4$ forming a series structure shown in Figure 21 [3];
- at the operation state $z_{8,9}$, the ferry technical system is composed of two subsystems $S_1$ and $S_4$ forming a series structure shown in Figure 22 [3].

For the new operation states numeration (17)-(24) we have:
- at the operation states $z_{1,2,3}$, $z_{4,5,6}$ and $z_{7,8,9}$, the ferry technical system is composed of two subsystems $S_1$ and $S_4$ forming a series structure shown in Figure 17 [3];
- at the operation states $z_{7,8}$, $z_{9,10}$, $z_{11,12}$ and $z_{13,14}$, the ferry technical system is composed of three subsystems $S_1$, $S_2$ and $S_3$ forming a series structure shown in Figure 18 [3];
- at the operation states $z_{15,16}$, $z_{17,18}$ and $z_{19,20}$, the ferry technical system is composed of three subsystems $S_1$, $S_3$ and $S_4$ forming a series structure shown in Figure 19 [3];
- at the operation state $z_{21,22,23}$, the ferry technical system is composed of three subsystems $S_1$, $S_2$ and $S_4$ forming a series structure shown in Figure 20 [3];
- at the operation state $z_{20,30}$, the ferry technical system is composed of two subsystems $S_1$ and $S_4$ forming a series structure shown in Figure 21 [3];
- at the operation state $z_{31,32}$ and $z_{33,34}$, the ferry technical system is composed of three subsystems $S_1$, $S_2$ and $S_4$ forming a series structure shown in Figure 22 [3].

Further, using semi-Markov model introduced in Section 3 [24], we can define the maritime ferry technical system operation process $Z'(t)$ related to its operating environment threats, by:

- the vector $[p'_{b}(0)]_{b=1,2,...,72}$ of the initial probabilities $p'_{b}(0) = P(Z'(0) = z'_{b}), b = 1,2,...,72$, of the maritime ferry technical system operation process $Z'(t)$ staying at particular operation states at the moment $t = 0$;
- the matrix $[p'_{l}(1)]_{b=1,2,...,72}$ of probabilities $p'_{l}$, $b, l = 1,2,...,72$, of the maritime ferry technical system operation process $Z'(t)$ transitions between the operation states $z'_{b}$ and $z'_{l}$;
- the matrix $[H'_{l}(t)]_{b=1,2,...,72}$ of conditional distribution functions $H'_{l}(t) = P(\theta'_{l} < t)$, $b, l = 1,2,...,72$, of the maritime ferry technical system operation process $Z'(t)$ conditional sojourn times $\theta'_{l}$ at the operation states.

4. Conclusion

In the paper there is presented the probabilistic model of the critical infrastructure operation process. Presented model is the basis for further considerations in particular tasks of the EU-CIRCLE project. Next this model will be used to construct the integrated general safety probabilistic model of the critical infrastructure related to its operation process and climate-weather process [3]. The model will be applied to real critical infrastructures such as the port oil piping transportation system and the maritime ferry technical system. The model further development will be done in the following EU-CIRCLE project reports: [4]-[6], [9]-[12].

Acknowledgments

The paper presents the results developed in the scope of the EU-CIRCLE project titled “A pan – European framework for strengthening Critical Infrastructure resilience to climate change” that has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 653824.

http://www.eu-circle.eu/

References

Modelling port piping transport and shipping critical infrastructures operation processes including operating environment threats


[12] EU-CIRCLE Report D2.3-GMU4. (2016). Evaluation of unknown parameters of a port oil piping transportation system operation process related to Operating Environment Threats (OET) and Extreme Weather Hazards (EWH) at the southern Baltic Sea area.


Modelling port piping transport and shipping critical infrastructures operation processes including operating environment threats